

Teaching Practice Models, Learning to Learn, and Reflective Practice Groups

**Mediation Clinical Think Tank
July 19, 2021**

**John Lande
Isidor Loeb Professor Emeritus
Center for the Study of Dispute Resolution
University of Missouri School of Law**

Today's Conversation

- I suggest that skills courses teach students to build their own practice models based on lots of factors.
 - Practitioners need system to help repeatedly decide “What do I do now?”
 - I will focus on mediation, but same principles apply to negotiation and other practice courses.
- Faculty also should consider assigning students to participate in reflective practice groups.
- Some of you may do things like this and it would be great to hear what you do.

Traditional Models are Misleading and Confusing

- “Facilitative” and “evaluative” models falsely imply that merely asking questions can’t undermine parties’ decision-making, and mediators’ expression of opinions necessarily does so.
- In reality, asking questions can create problematic pressure on parties, and providing assessments can help parties make well-considered decisions.
- Models are simplistic binary options combining different behaviors.
- Models focus on interventions, not goals.

Mediators' Brains Don't Work That Way

Kressel's description of mediators' actual mental models:

- Largely unconscious mixtures of formal models and “personal ‘mini-theories’ of conflict and role of mediators”
- “Ideas mediator holds about role of mediator; goals to be attained (and avoided); interventions that are permissible (and impermissible) in striving to reach those goals”
- “Mediator coping responses to complex and demanding task of intervention decisionmaking and limitations of formal models of practice and conscious human deliberation.”

Mediator Thinking, Fast and Slow

- Kressel's work is based on Kahneman's *Thinking, Fast and Slow*:
 - System 1: fast, unconscious, rules of thumb
 - System 2: slow, conscious “mental mapping” of potential interventions to achieve goals
- System 2 can train system 1 to develop practical profiles and routines
 - This is big part of what we should teach students – in addition to system 2 strategies

BTW, There's No Such Thing as Mediation

- **People talk about mediation as if it is a single, uniform process.**
- **But it's not.**
- **The process differs greatly depending on a zillion variables – including mediators' perspectives.**
- **So theoretical models provide mediators very limited guidance in deciding what to do next.**

Dimensions in Actual Models

Some dimensions of mediators' actual mental models:

- **Mediators' goals**
- **Types of case**
- **Types of parties and other participants**
- **History of conflict**
- **Parties' goals, interests, and positions**
- **Mediator interventions and effects of interventions**
- **Common challenging situations**
- **Principles and strategies to handle challenges**

Mediators' Goals

- Mediators' goals may include:
 - Helping parties make careful decisions
 - Producing fair process and/or outcome
 - Reducing monetary and/or emotional costs of dispute
 - Freeing parties to focus on things other than dispute
 - Promoting good communication
 - Cooperatively solving problems
 - Creating value
 - Promoting empowerment and mutual recognition
- Mediators have multiple goals, and vary in priorities
- Mediators have different goals in particular situations

Types of Cases

- **Mediation is used in lots of cases, e.g., small claims, landlord-tenant, family, employment, tort, business, international**
- **Some mediators mostly handle one type, and others handle multiple types**
- **Rules, norms, and routines differ by types of cases and practice culture**

Parties and Other Participants

Parties vary:

- **In experience and sophistication**
 - **One-shotters (OS) and repeat-players (RP)**
 - **Combination of OS and RP**
 - **Same types (OS-v.-OS or RP-v.-RP)**
 - **Different types (OS-v.-RP or RP-v.-OS)**
- **Whether some or all parties represented by lawyers**
- **Many other factors, e.g., motivation, personality, education, resources, demographics, culture**

Others may participate, e.g., insurance adjusters, team members, experts

History of Conflict

If parties had relationship before dispute, mediators may consider:

- **Nature and length of relationship**
- **Nature and length of conflict leading to dispute**
- **Any efforts to resolve conflict or dispute**
- **Barriers to agreement**

Parties' Goals, Interests & Positions

- Parties' stated goals, interests, and positions at outset of mediation
- Possible unstated goals, interests, and positions
- Changes in goals, interests, and positions during mediation
- Possible goals different from mediators' goals listed above, e.g.:
 - maximizing partisan outcome
 - vindicating important values or principles
 - avoiding victimization
 - punishing other side

Mediators' Interventions

Interventions and expected consequences of:

- **Asking questions and listening**
- **Helping parties assess intangible interests, issues, possible court outcomes, tangible litigation costs, and options**
- **Referring clients to talk with lawyers, experts, or others**
- **Providing information and resources**
- **Assessing intangible interests, issues, possible court outcomes, tangible litigation costs, and options**
- **Coaching, suggesting options, and giving negotiation advice**
- **Making proposals**
- **Predicting court outcomes and effects on parties' interests**
- **Applying non-coercive pressure**

A Note on Pressure

- **Key issue is actual effect of specific intervention on decision-making, not assumed effect of types of interventions**
- We often assume pressure is bad, possibly coercive
- In fact, some pressure is inevitable
- Some is helpful to get people to make decisions
- Some is helpful to get people not to make bad decisions, harm others, or violate law
- Asking questions can exert pressure through implication
- Discussion of time can exert pressure
- Expectation of settlement, especially in one day, is pressure – often implicit
- Discussion of possible court outcomes isn't necessarily (added or inappropriate) pressure
- Distinguish coercion – threats, hostile action, force

Mediators' Personal Theories and Routines

System 1:

- Default goals
- Routine procedures, starting from first involvement
- Typical responses to common mediation dynamics
- Expected effects of particular interventions

Handling Challenging Situations

System 2:

- Recurring challenging situations
- Strategies for challenging situations
- Which strategies have worked and which haven't
- Factors affecting choice of interventions
- Guiding principles for managing challenging situations

Reflective Practice Groups

- Structured opportunities to analyze one's experiences and learn from others' experiences
- Typically focus on one individual's dilemmas in a case
- Ask questions to help subjects understand what happened, e.g.:
 - How they perceived the situation
 - How they intervened (and why)
 - What they expected to happen
 - What actually happened
 - What other interventions might they have used
 - How this situation compares with others
- Group members help subjects figure things out rather than describing their own experiences or offering suggestions

Possible Course Assignments

- **Students write personal mediation model including some or all of above dimensions**
 - **Assignment at end of course**
 - **Assignment in middle of course, with update at end of course based on course experiences**
- **Assign students into groups (e.g., 4 students) to hold reflective practice discussions outside class about challenging situations**
 - **Each student discusses one challenging situation**
 - **Each student leads one discussion**
 - **Could prepare for grand round presentation**

For More Detail

- Kenneth Kressel: How Do Mediators Decide What to Do? Implicit Schemas of Practice and Mediator Decisionmaking
- Wall & Kressel: Mediator Thinking in Civil Cases
- Reconciling Allegedly Alternative Mediation Models by Using DIY Models – theory generally
- Reconciling Allegedly Alternative Mediation Models by Using DIY Models – application to teaching
- Merging Mediation Models – And Other Lessons
- We Should Replace Mediation Models with a Unified Conceptual Framework
- Decision-Making as an Essential Element of Our Field