

Elements of Dispute Resolution Syllabi on the DRLE Website (Percentages)

Rafael Gely and John Lande

University of Missouri School of Law

Center for the Study of Dispute Resolution

February 2016

The following tables summarize elements of syllabi posted on the [Dispute Resolution in Legal Education website](http://www.drle.org) during the summer 2015.

Element	All* N = 122	ADR Survey N = 19	Negotiation N = 29	Mediation N = 27	Arbitration N = 13	Int'l & Comp. N = 5	Other* N = 29
Number of instructors							
1	86	95	83	74	92	80	93
2	10	5	10	15	8	20	7
3 or more	4	0	7	11	0	0	0
Use Guest Speakers	11	11	3	4	8	20	24
Laptop Policy							
No policy stated in the syllabus	75	58	76	81	85	80	76
Laptop use prohibited	9	11	14	4	8	20	7
Laptop use allowed with limitations	15	26	10	15	8	0	17
Other Online course	1	5	0	0	0	0	0
Final Exam							
Required	23	53	3	15	46	40	17
Optional	3	5	0	4	15	0	0
No final	74	42	97	81	38	60	83
Whether Exam is In-Class or Take-Home							
Exam is in-class	13	42	0	7	15	20	10
Exam is take home	13	16	3	11	46	20	7
No exam is used	74	42	97	81	38	60	83
Final Paper							
Required	43	16	59	37	46	60	45
Optional	4	5	0	7	8	0	3
No final paper is assigned	53	79	41	56	46	40	52
Students are required to keep journals	18	11	34	30	0	0	7
Students are required to observe a court, mediation, arbitration or other proceeding	9	5	0	30	0	0	7
Students are required to participate in online discussions	5	5	3	0	0	0	14

Element	All* N = 122	ADR Survey N = 19	Negotiation N = 29	Mediation N = 27	Arbitration N = 13	Int'l & Comp. N = 5	Other* N = 29
Students are required to turn in short papers (other than journals or online discussions)	45	53	55	48	15	0	45
Students are required to do graded class presentations	26	11	21	37	8	20	38
Students are required to do a reputation index	6	0	24	0	0	0	0
Students are graded on the basis of their performance in a simulation	20	16	28	26	15	0	14
Students are graded on the basis of quizzes	8	11	10	7	8	0	10
Class participation is part of the final grade	65	58	66	67	54	60	72
If class participation is included in grading, the proportion of the grade it represents							
1-10%	11	11	0	30	15	0	7
11-20%	23	21	24	19	23	40	24
21-30%	18	16	24	15	15	20	17
More than 30%	11	11	17	4	0	0	21
Class participation not mentioned	36	42	34	33	46	40	28

*For the “All Courses” and “Other Courses” categories there was one missing value because one “other” syllabus contained only the list of reading assignments and provided no other information.

Some distributions do not add to 100% due to rounding.

Thanks to Missouri Center for the Study of Dispute Resolution Fellow Shawnequa (“Nikki”) Clark for compiling the data.

Required Texts (in two or more syllabi)	Number of Courses
ADR Survey	
Menkel-Meadow et al., Dispute Resolution	4
Riskin et al, Dispute Resolution and Lawyers	4
Folberg et al., Resolving Disputes	3
Goldberg et al., Dispute Resolution	3
Fisher & Ury, Getting to Yes	2
Negotiation	
Fisher & Ury, Getting to Yes	13
Menkel-Meadow et al., Negotiation	4
Mnookin et al., Beyond Winning	4
Shell, Bargaining for Advantage	4
Stone et al., Difficult Conversations	4
Lande, Lawyering with Planned Negotiation	3
Craver, Effective Legal Negotiation	2
Thompson, The Heart and Mind of a Negotiator	2
Mediation	
Frenkel & Stark, The Practice of Mediation	5
Alfini et al., Mediation Theory and Practice	4
Fisher & Ury, Getting to Yes	4
Abramson, Mediation Representation	2
Arbitration	
Huber & Weston, Arbitration	3
Stone et al., Arbitration Law	2